

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. /	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,860	02/08/2001	Mitsuru Iwasaki	040679-1209	6172	
22428 7	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMIN	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREI	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		3753	20	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003	42	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/778,860	Iwasoki etali	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Atkinson	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ers on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	_		
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH se the application to become ABAI	S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24/03		·
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	•	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) © Claim(s) /-2, 4-11, 13, 2	6-27+29-38	is/are pending in the application	ation.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>B</u> 26-みつ	H29-38	is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.
5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) (Claim(s) /-2 + 1/-11		is/are rejected.	
7)		is/are objected to.	
8) Claims			equirement.
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are a) \square accepted or	b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		<i>,</i> -	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents to	nave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents t	nave been received in A	application No.	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		1	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	•		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision 15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes 	• •		
	the priority under 35 C.	3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.	• .
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rtent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		. • • •

Art Unit: 3753

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 13, 26-27 and 29-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected species. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Makino et al. See at least figures 21-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under

Application/Control Number: 09/778,860 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 7-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Makino et al. The patent of Makino et al. discloses all the claimed features of the invention with the exception of a fan unit being attached to an outlet port. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the claimed spacing distance and tube widths such modifications would have involved a mere change in the relationship of the parts which does not solve any stated problem or produce any new and/or unexpected result.

Response to Arguments

Applicant concerns directed toward Makino et al. are not found persuasive. The third louver (67a) is in the vicinity (i.e. near) of an innermost of the first louver (65). See figure 23 in Makino et al. The opening in the louvers (67,67a) does in fact obstruct (i.e. reduce) heat transfer in the fin (37). See figure 21.

In reference to the same assignee, the inventors are different.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE

Application/Control Number: 09/778,860 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

December 29, 2003

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER